UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/562,573	12/27/2005	Mats Erlandsson-Warvelin	43315-226097	8602	
26694 VENABLE LLI	7590 03/17/201 <b>P</b>	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 3438		NORTON, JENNIFER L			
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20043-9998		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2121		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/17/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/562,573	ERLANDSSON-WARVELIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jennifer L. Norton	2121		

	Jennifer L. Norton	2121	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 March 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance w	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount o hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a capacity (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a connection of the present additional claims without canceling a connection of the present additional claims without canceling a connection of the present additional claims without canceling a connection of the present additional claims without canceling a connection of the present additional claims without canceling a connection of the present additional claims without canceling a connection of the present additional claims without canceling a connection of the present additional claims without canceling a connection of the present additional claims without canceling a connection of the present additional claims without canceling a connection of the present additional claims without canceling a connection of the present additional claims without canceling a connection of the present additional claims without canceling a connection of the present additional claims without canceling a connection of the present additional claims without canceling a connection of the present additional claims without canceling a connection of the present additional claims without canceling a connection of the present additional claims without canceling and the present additional claims are connected as a connected and the present additional claims are connected as a connected and the present additional claims are connected as a connected and the present additional claims are connected as a connected and connec	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>			·
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. $\square$ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/ALBERT DECADY/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2121			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Newly presented limitations have not been previously considered and/or searched, and raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or searching by the Examiner.